

## Workshop and Clinical Skills Submissions

Score	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Breadth of Interest, Appeal and Relevance to Delegates</b>	<i>None / Minimal</i>	<i>1-5%</i>	<i>5-10%</i>	<i>10-25%</i>	<b><i>Over 25%</i></b>
<b>Expertise of Presenter – Presenter should have recognised clinical and/or research expertise in the topic. Research publications, experience of presenting and/or recognition as a clinical specialist should be taken into account</b>	<i>Poor</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Very Good</i>	<b><i>Excellent</i></b>
<b>Overall Coherence and Clarity of Description</b>	<i>Poor</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Very Good</i>	<b><i>Excellent</i></b>
<b>Implications of the Work for the Science and/or Clinical Practice of Cognitive &amp; Behavioural Therapies</b>	<i>None / Minimal</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Important</i>	<i>Very Important</i>	<b><i>Extremely Important / Transformative</i></b>
<b>Originality of Content</b>	<i>None / Largely derivative</i>	<i>Somewhat Original</i>	<i>Moderately Original</i>	<i>Very Original</i>	<b><i>Extremely Original</i></b>
<b>Likely Impact of Content if Published</b>	<i>None / Preliminary</i>	<i>National</i>	<i>International (standard)</i>	<i>International (good)</i>	<b><i>International (excellent)</i></b>
<b>OVERALL TOTAL =                    /30</b>					

Recommendation to Scientific Committee (please circle)

**Accept**

**Resubmit in different format**

**Reject\***

\*If reject please write 1-2 paragraphs below to be given to the submitter as feedback, using the criteria above:

---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---

**Symposia Submissions (BLIND MARKED)**

Score	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Breadth of Interest, Appeal and Relevance to Delegates</b>	<i>None / Minimal</i>	<i>1-5%</i>	<i>5-10%</i>	<i>10-25%</i>	<b><i>Over 25%</i></b>
<b>Quality of Methods used (e.g., use of standardised outcome measures, methods appropriate to test hypotheses if relevant, single case design methods used, study appropriately powered)</b>	<i>Poor</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Very Good</i>	<b><i>Excellent</i></b>
<b>Overall Coherence and Clarity of Description</b>	<i>Poor</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Very Good</i>	<b><i>Excellent</i></b>
<b>Implications of the Work for the Science and/or Clinical Practice of Cognitive &amp; Behavioural Therapies</b>	<i>None / Minimal</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Important</i>	<i>Very Important</i>	<b><i>Extremely Important / Transformative</i></b>
<b>Originality of Content</b>	<i>None / Largely derivative</i>	<i>Somewhat Original</i>	<i>Moderately Original</i>	<i>Very Original</i>	<b><i>Extremely Original</i></b>
<b>Likely Impact of Content if Accepted</b>	<i>None / Preliminary</i>	<i>National</i>	<i>International (standard)</i>	<i>International (good)</i>	<b><i>International (excellent)</i></b>
<b>OVERALL TOTAL = /30</b>					

Recommendation to Scientific Committee (please circle)

**Accept**

**Resubmit in different format**

**Reject\***

\*If reject please write 1-2 paragraphs below to be given to the submitter as feedback, using the criteria above:

---



---



---



---



---



---



---

## Roundtable and Panel Debate Submissions

Score	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Breadth of Interest, Appeal and Relevance to Delegates</b>	<i>None / Minimal</i>	<i>1-5%</i>	<i>5-10%</i>	<i>10-25%</i>	<b><i>Over 25%</i></b>
<b>Overall Coherence and Clarity of Description</b>	<i>Poor</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Very Good</i>	<b><i>Excellent</i></b>
<b>Implications of the Work for the Science and/or Clinical Practice of Cognitive &amp; Behavioural Therapies</b>	<i>None / Minimal</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Important</i>	<i>Very Important</i>	<b><i>Extremely Important / Transformative</i></b>
<b>Originality of Content</b>	<i>None / Largely derivative</i>	<i>Somewhat Original</i>	<i>Moderately Original</i>	<i>Very Original</i>	<b><i>Extremely Original</i></b>
<b>Likely Impact of Content if Published</b>	<i>None / Preliminary</i>	<i>National</i>	<i>International (standard)</i>	<i>International (good)</i>	<b><i>International (excellent)</i></b>
<b>OVERALL TOTAL =                    /30</b>					

Recommendation to Scientific Committee (please circle)

**Accept**

**Resubmit in different format**

**Reject\***

\*If reject please write 1-2 paragraphs below to be given to the submitter as feedback, using the criteria above:

---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---

**Open Paper and Posters Submissions (BLIND MARKED)**

**Stream:**

**Title of Submission:**

**Submission ID number:**

Score	0	1	2	3	4
<b>Clarity and coherence of communication and reporting</b>	Impossible to follow	Problematic	Adequate	Good	Excellent
<b>Methodological soundness and rigour</b>	Seriously or fundamentally flawed	Weak design or having significant limitations	Adequate	Good	Excellent
<b>Empirical grounding – in evidence base or basic process science</b>	None	Some	Moderate	Good	Excellent
<b>Relevance and appropriateness to CBT</b>	None	Broad context or very tangential	Modest relevance	Good relevance	Excellent relevance
<b>Impact, implications, importance, originality</b>	None	Preliminary	Moderate	Good	Excellent
<b>OVERALL TOTAL =</b>			<b>/20</b>		

Recommendation to Scientific Committee (please circle)

**Accept**

**Resubmit in different format**

**Reject\***

\*If reject please write 1-2 paragraphs below to be given to the submitter as feedback, using the criteria above:

---



---



---



---



---



---



---